

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,373		04/26/2001	Floribertus C.H. Mokveld	P 280261 9036US/CNT1	6577
43569	7590	12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
		ROWE & MAW L	SALVATORE, LYNDA		
1909 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, – –			1771	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	A - I' - I' - No	Annlinent/o\					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/842,373	MOKVELD ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lynda M Salvatore	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a): The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed, as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	the shortened statutory period for reply on the contract than three months after the mail	originally set in the final	Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 11-14 and 16-24.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							
			_				

Advisory Action

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becauseApplicant's arguments regarding a lack of motivation combine references are not found persuasive. Specifically, Applicant argues that the JP 311 references is directed to flat fibers whereas the WO 766 reference is directed to round fibers. The Examiner respectfully points out that the JP 311 reference was relied up to teach the preparation of the polyethylene filaments. Applicant is not claiming any specific fiber shape or physical properties as a function of fiber hape. Applicant further argues that no motivation exists to employ the polyethylene filaments of JP 311 in the article of WO 766 because the fibers of WO 766 reference already exhibit desirable high tensile strength and modulus. In response, the Examiner respectfully points ut that the fibers of JP 311 not only exhibit the claimed modulus and tensile strength but also have other properties such as light weight and fineness. It is the position of the Examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated by said light weight and fiber neness properties to provide improved ballastic helmets and garments.

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700